

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,320	07/09/2001	Rosario Brancato	118.002UŞ01	7767	
75	90 03/11/2003				
Fogg, Slifer & Polglaze, P.A.			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 581009 Minneapolis, MN 55458-1009			SAUCIER, SA	SAUCIER, SANDRA E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651	G	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/901,320

Applicant(s)

Brancato et al.

Examiner

Sandra Saucier

Art Unit **1651**



·		
The MA	ILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	07.4.7.1.7.0.0V DEDICO COD DEDI V 10.0ET	TO EVALUE A MONTHUOVEDONA
	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time ma	y be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
	specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
	s specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢 Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 21, 2</u>	003
2a) X This action	n is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.
and the second s		except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Clair	ms	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-13	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the a	above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _		is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-10	is/are rejected.
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1</u>	1-13	is/are objected to.
8) 🗌 Claims		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	3	
9) The specif	ication is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawi	ng(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant	may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The propo	sed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner
If approve	ed, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12) The oath o	or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowle	dgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 💢 All b)□	Some* c)□ None of:	
1. X Certi	fied copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
2. 🗆 Certi	fied copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
3. 🗆 Copie	es of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attac	ched detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
14) Acknowle	dgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) The tran	slation of the foreign language provisiona	l application has been received.
15) Acknowled	dgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	E	
1) Notice of Reference		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:
o, Innomitation Disclos	Sure Statement(s) (r 10-1443) Paper NO(S).	of Country

Application/Control Number: 09/901320

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-13 are pending and are considered on the merits.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/11242 [L].

The claims are directed to the use of CoQ_{10} to prevent and treat incidental or post-surgical trauma pathologies of the eye. The claims do not require that the eye be post-surgical, but rather can be read to require only the use of CoQ_{10} to prevent damage from exposure to the sun which would be an incidental trauma. All patient's eyes could benefit from this result. Therefore, any topical application of CoQ_{10} to an eye could prevent damage to the eye from exposure to the sun. Please note that claims 5–9 do not REQUIRE that the eye be post-surgical, only that if it were post-surgical, the surgery would be a LASIK type treatment, for example.

The references are relied upon as explained below.

WO 1999/11242 teaches the administering of CoQ_{10} intraocularly. The inherent result of this administration would reasonably be expected to be the same result as obtained by the claimed method since the claimed method may be read to require the use of CoQ_{10} to prevent damage induced by the sun. Patients administered the composition of example 2, page 8, intraocularly (abstract) would obtain this benefit as an inherent result of the method.

It is not relevant to the analysis of the claimed method that the reference makes no mention of (inhibiting, preventing etc.). Discovery of a new benefit for an old process does not render the old process patentable. In re Woodruff, 919 F. 2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Merely because the reference did not have one of applicant's purposes in mind when the (drug was administered) does not alter the drug's physiological activity. In the context of an anticipation rejection, the Federal Circuit stated, "Where, as here, the result is a necessary consequence of what was deliberately intended, it is of no import that the article's authors did not appreciate the results."

Art Unit: 1651

Mehl/Biophile Int'l Corp. v. Milgraum, 192 F. 3d 1362, 1366, 52 USPQ2d 1303, 1307 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

See also *In re* Cruciferous Sprout 02–1031, August 21, 2002, Fed. Circuit, where the Federal Circuit upheld a decision that patents licensed to Brassica Protection Products, Inc. are invalid because they are anticipated by the prior art. The patents are for method of growing and eating certain sprouts to reduce the level of carcinogens in animals, thereby reducing the risk of developing cancer. Prior art references disclose growing and eating those specific sprouts. The Federal Circuit cited authroity for the rule that "a prior art reference may anticipate when the claim limitations not expressly found in the that reference are nonetheless inherent in it." The court said, "While Brassica may have recognized something quite interesting about those sprouts, it simply has not invented anything new.".

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time of invention to perform the claimed method as suggested by the reference with a reasonable expectation of success. The claimed subject matter fails to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

Application/Control Number: 09/901320 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1651. The supervisor for 1651 is M. Wityshyn, (703) 308-4743. The normal work schedule for Examiner Saucier is 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM Monday, Tuesday and 8:30 AM to noon on Wednesday.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Saucier whose telephone number is (703) 308–1084. Status inquiries must be directed to the Customer Service Desk at (703) 308–0197 or (703)–308–0198. The number of the Fax Center for the faxing of official papers is (703) 872–9306 or for after finals (703) 872–9307.

Sandra Saucier Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1651

March 10, 2003